

### Protecting Surgical Teams During the COVID-19 Outbreak: A Narrative Review and Clinical Considerations

Gabriel A. Brat MD MPH FACS,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Sean P. Hersey MD,<sup>1</sup> Karan Chhabra MD,<sup>3,4,5</sup> Alok Gupta MD FACS,<sup>1</sup> John Scott MD MPH<sup>4,6</sup>

- 1. Department of Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA
- 2. Department of Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
- 3. National Clinician Scholars Program at the Institute for Healthcare Policy and Innovation, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

publication in Ant

- 4. Center for Healthcare Outcomes and Policy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI
- 5. Department of Surgery, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA
- 6. Department of Surgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

#### \*Corresponding author

#### **Gabriel Brat**

C/O Linda Prater 110 Francis Street, Suite 3A Boston, MA 02215 Phone: (617) 632-9779 Fax: (617) 632-0886 gbrat@bidmc.harvard.edu

#### DISCLOSURE

Drs. Brat, Hersey, Chhabra, Gupta, and Scott do not report any conflicts of interest.

Dr. Chhabra receives funding from the University of Michigan Institute for Healthcare Policy and Innovation Clinician Scholars Program and the National Institutes of Health's Division of Loan Repayment.



SARS-CoV-2, the virus responsible for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), has caused a global pandemic that may infect millions in the United States alone. Given the baseline rate of emergency operations in the US,<sup>1</sup> thousands of COVID-19 positive patients are likely to require surgical interventions during this outbreak.

Surgeons' obligation, as always, will be to provide timely, high-quality surgical care that achieves the best outcomes for patients. But if surgical teams are not adequately protected from virus transmission during the COVID-19 outbreak, our health systems' capacity to provide necessary care will collapse as more clinicians are forced into quarantine. Moreover, protecting those who care for the acutely ill and injured is the ethical decision to prevent anxiety and attrition.

In this review, we draw from published literature and clinical experience to highlight key considerations for surgical teams operating on patients with suspected or known COVID-19.

## **KEY CONSIDERATIONS FOR SURGEONS**

Surgical teams are at uniquely high risk for SARS-CoV-2 exposure. Viral particles have been found in nasal swabs, pharyngeal swabs, sputum, bronchial swabs, gastrointestinal tissue, blood, and stool.<sup>2,3</sup> Surfaces in isolation rooms and even clinician shoe covers have tested positive as well.<sup>4,5</sup> Moreover, the virus can spread via aerosols and fomites, and survive as aerosol for at least 3 hours and on surfaces for days.<sup>6</sup> Studies of other viruses have found viral load in essentially all tissues and fluids tested and even surgical smoke from the use of electrocautery.<sup>7,8</sup> Given these inherent risks, we outline key considerations for protecting surgical teams below.

# I. Structure Frequent Communication Before Key Events

Without detailed planning and protocols, transporting a patient with COVID-19 puts clinicians and patients at high risk of viral transmission. Frequent, structured communication in the form of huddles plays an integral role in mitigating this risk. A recent article described the value of bringing together stakeholders to plan safe patient transport and the process of getting the patient onto the operating room table.<sup>9</sup> Huddles should include surgeons, the anesthesia team, intensive care unit (ICU) attendings and nurses, respiratory therapists, operating room (OR) nursing staff, environmental services, security, and members of infection control. To standardize this process, hospitals have developed transport protocols for the operative team<sup>10,11</sup> and are performing transport simulations to prepare for high numbers of potential patients. Open

# Protecting Surgical Teams During the COVID-19 Outbreak: A Narrative Review and Clinical Considerations

2020 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

# ANNALS OF SURGERY A MONTHLY REVIEW OF SURGICAL SCIENCE SINCE 1885

communication between the surgical team and peri-operative staff allows the group to transfer high-risk patients from wards to the OR and back with reduced risk to the team.

# II. Assume the Entire OR Will Be Contaminated

SARS-CoV-2 can survive for days on multiple operating room surfaces, including plastic and stainless steel.<sup>6</sup> Under ordinary conditions, operating rooms use positive pressure airflow, but this runs the risk of contaminating adjacent ORs and hallways; thus, operating rooms with negative pressure capabilities are recommended.<sup>12</sup> When negative pressure rooms are unavailable, it is important to allow sufficient time between cases for complete room air exchange--usually on the order of 30 minutes. This time interval is based on the number of air changes per hour as described by the CDC.<sup>13</sup>

Items such as hospital charts, pagers, and cell phones must be left outside the OR with contingencies to respond to time sensitive pages. Additionally, anything that was in contact with the patient, such as the ward bed, should also be considered contaminated. If possible, a dedicated runner should be posted outside of the OR to obtain supplies such as suture, surgical staplers, and energy devices as needed.<sup>9</sup> This eliminates the need for excessive movement into and out of the room by the circulating nurse, and also minimizes consumption of personal protective equipment with every entry and exit of the room. All single-use equipment (even unopened) in the room is thrown away at the end of the case, and thus only what is currently needed and absolutely required for the case should be brought in.

# III. Choose Protective Equipment Effective Against Aerosolized Particles

Standard surgical personal protective equipment (PPE) includes a face shield, mask, waterproof gown, double gloves, and shoe covers. There is some disagreement, however, about the type of respiratory protection--N95 respirator, powered air purifying respirator (PAPR), or standard surgical mask--that should be used for surgical procedures on patients with COVID-19. Currently, we are not aware of data to suggest that either the N95 or PAPR are better to protect against COVID-19. PAPRs are generally used when HCWs cannot achieve a proper fit with an N95; guidelines and mask specifications suggest equivalence for aerosolized agents.<sup>14</sup> A surgical mask is capable of blocking gross inhalation of droplets, while a well-fitted N95 respirator is additionally capable of filtering aerosols. This is of particular interest to surgeons as aerosols have been identified from multiple surgical procedures, including those that use electrocautery and high-speed tools,<sup>15,16</sup> and smoke from electrocautery has been shown to harbor intact bacterial and virus particles.<sup>7,8, 17–19</sup> As such, surgery can be considered a form of "aerosol generating procedure" (AGP),<sup>20</sup> especially with the use of electrocautery and/or laparoscopy.

The Centers for Disease Control in both the US<sup>20</sup> and in China,<sup>21</sup> as well as the Association of Spanish Surgeons,<sup>22</sup> specifically recommend use of N95 respirators (preferably without valves)



for surgeries with AGPs on COVID-19 patients. Australia's Department of Health encourages N95 use for "high-level contact" with infected patients.<sup>23</sup> A recent guideline in the Chinese Journal of Surgery presented recommendations for emergency surgery in COVID-19 patients that specifically include the use of N95 masks for anesthesia and surgical teams.<sup>24</sup>

However, the World Health Organization (WHO) recently published PPE guidance for healthcare workers that did not specify that surgical procedures required N95 respirators.<sup>25</sup> Some groups may erroneously interpret the absence of laparoscopy or electrocautery on the list to imply that most surgical procedures are not aerosol-generating. The meta-analysis cited by the WHO guidance specifically noted that there were nearly no surgical cases included in its analysis because it focused on tracheal aerosols--and only open thoracotomy was extracted as a data element.<sup>26</sup> In a time when there is limited information about transmission of COVID-19, aggressive protection<sup>4</sup> with complete PPE for AGPs (which includes N95 masks) is in line with guidance from multiple national organizations as well as the limited data available from previous research.

## IV. Adapt Surgical Technique to Reduce Exposure Risks

It is unclear if laparoscopy increases surgeon risk of exposure to aerosolized viral particles. Carbon dioxide insufflation, energy devices, and high-speed surgical equipment generate significant aerosols. Though aerosols may be contained in the abdomen during laparoscopy, when expelled under pressure—such as with release of pneumoperitoneum—they may spread widely.<sup>27,28</sup> Care should be taken to minimize the possibility of inadvertent release and filter the CO2 using existing technology.<sup>24,29</sup>

However, this risk may not be unique to laparoscopy. Viral and bacterial aerosols have been identified in surgical plumes in both laparoscopic and open procedures.<sup>8,15,17,18</sup> However, the use of a smoke evacuation device is in line with pre-existing OR guidelines<sup>30</sup> and may reduce aerosol exposure in both open and laparoscopic procedures. In the absence of convincing data, when both open and laparoscopic approaches are clinically appropriate, the safest approach may be the one that is most familiar to the surgeon and reduces operative time.

### V. Use a "Buddy System" for Donning and Doffing

Clinicians may actually be more likely to infect themselves when removing their PPE than when caring for a contagious patient.<sup>31</sup> To avoid self-contamination, everyone in the OR must be able to put on (don) and remove (doff) PPE correctly. Proper gowning and doffing procedures should be reviewed with surgeons, residents, and OR staff before each case to ensure proper technique.<sup>32,33</sup> In addition, based on previous viral outbreaks, a "buddy system" has been recommended, in which providers assist with and oversee the doffing of a colleague.<sup>34</sup> In our experience, the combined use of video-based instruction and a colleague's oversight



significantly improves anxiety among staff and may lead to less self-contamination during PPE removal.

#### **Concluding Thoughts**

Though careful planning and appropriate PPE are essential, the most effective way to prevent viral exposure is to avoid performing non-essential surgical procedures. As recommended by the American College of Surgeons,<sup>35</sup> this limits the opportunities for patients and clinicians to become exposed, conserves personal protective equipment, and preserves health system capacity. Non-operative approaches (e.g. for appendicitis, diverticulitis, and cholecystitis) may be a safe alternative to surgery for some patients. Many patients, however, will require emergency operative intervention.

Our evaluation of the literature has provided more questions than answers with regard to PPE choices and surgical technique. Recent clinical trials have focused on outpatient clinicians,<sup>36</sup> but no study has effectively evaluated how best to protect operating surgeons. As we better understand the epidemiology of transmission of these agents and methods of prevention, there is a need to study and re-evaluate the information above.

Thus, the issues highlighted in this review should not be taken as official guidelines, mandates, or standard operating procedures. The global community is learning more about COVID-19 every day. Surgeons should seek guidance from their appropriate national, local, and hospital guidelines and regulations—many of which are likely to change over time as new information becomes available to the global community.

By presenting our best understanding of the literature, we are hoping to promote the safety of surgical teams and, as a result, the patients who are relying on them for life-saving surgical care.



#### CITATIONS

- 1. Gale SC, Shafi S, Dombrovskiy VY, et al. The public health burden of emergency general surgery in the United States: A 10-year analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample--2001 to 2010. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2014;77:202–208.
- 2. Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Different Types of Clinical Specimens. *JAMA*. Epub ahead of print March 11, 2020. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.3786.
- Guan W-J, Ni Z-Y, Hu Y, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med.*. Epub ahead of print February 28, 2020. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032.
- 4. Chang D, Xu H, Rebaza A, et al. Protecting health-care workers from subclinical coronavirus infection. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2020;8:e13.
- Ong SWX, Tan YK, Chia PY, et al. Air, Surface Environmental, and Personal Protective Equipment Contamination by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) From a Symptomatic Patient. *JAMA*. Epub ahead of print March 4, 2020. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.3227.



- van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, et al. Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1. *N Engl J Med.*. Epub ahead of print March 17, 2020. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2004973.
- 7. Kwak HD, Kim S-H, Seo YS, et al. Detecting hepatitis B virus in surgical smoke emitted during laparoscopic surgery. *Occup Environ Med.* 2016;73:857–863.
- Stephenson DJ, Allcott DA, Koch M. The presence of P22 bacteriophage in electrocautery aerosols. In: Proceedings of the National Occupational Research Agenda Symposium, Salt Lake City, UT Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Dale\_Stephenson/publication/242419093\_THE\_PRES ENCE\_OF\_P22\_BACTERIOPHAGE\_IN\_ELECTROCAUTERY\_AEROSOLS/links/00b7d52 a09d15f05f3000000.pdf. 2004.
- 9. Ti LK, Ang LS, Foong TW, et al. What we do when a COVID-19 patient needs an operation: operating room preparation and guidance. *Can J Anaesth*. Epub ahead of print March 6, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s12630-020-01617-4.
- 10. UW Medicine COVID-19 Protocols Available from: https://covid-19.uwmedicine.org/Pages/default.aspx. Accessed March 19, 2020.
- 11. UCSF Health COVID-19 Clinical Resources | UCSF Health Hospital Epidemiology and Infection Prevention Available from: https://infectioncontrol.ucsfmedicalcenter.org/coronavirus. Accessed March 19, 2020.
- 12. Wax RS, Christian MD. Practical recommendations for critical care and anesthesiology teams caring for novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) patients. *Can J Anaesth.* . Epub ahead of print February 12, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/s12630-020-01591-x.
- Air | Appendix | Environmental Guidelines | Guidelines Library | Infection Control | CDC Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/environmental/appendix/air.html. 2019. Accessed March 20, 2020.
- 14. Qian Y, Willeke K, Grinshpun SA, et al. Performance of N95 respirators: filtration efficiency for airborne microbial and inert particles. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J.* 1998;59:128–132.
- Farmer WM, Nataupsky M, Gorochovskaya R, et al. Identification of Aerosol Production during Surgical Procedures. 00229128; NIOSH Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/nioshtic-2/00229128.html. March 28, 1994. Accessed March 18, 2020.
- 16. Tream IC. Aerosol Generating Procedures (AGPs). Health Protection Scotland Available from: https://hpspubsrepo.blob.core.windows.net/hps-website/nss/2893/documents/1\_tbp-lr-agp-v1.pdf. November 2019.
- 17. Mellor G, Hutchinson M. Is it time for a more systematic approach to the hazards of surgical



smoke?: reconsidering the evidence. Workplace Health Saf. 2013;61:265-270.

- 18. Schultz L. Can Efficient Smoke Evacuation Limit Aerosolization of Bacteria? *AORN J.* 2015;102:7–14.
- 19. Liu Y, Song Y, Hu X, et al. Awareness of surgical smoke hazards and enhancement of surgical smoke prevention among the gynecologists. *J Cancer*. 2019;10:2788–2799.
- 20. CDC. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/respirator-use-faq.html. 2020. Accessed March 18, 2020.
- 21. For different groups of people: how to choose masks Available from: http://en.nhc.gov.cn/2020-02/07/c\_76344\_2.htm. Accessed March 18, 2020.
- 22. RECOMENDACIONES PARA MANEJO DE PACIENTES CON INFECCIÓN POR COVID-19 EN EL CONTEXTO DE UNA INTERVENCIÓN QUIRÚRGICA URGENTE O ELECTIVA. *Asociacion Espanol de Cirujanos (AEC)*. Available at: https://www.aecirujanos.es/files/noticias/152/documentos/Recomendaciones\_caso\_cirugia. pdf. Accessed March 18, 2020.
- 23. Australian Health Department. Interim recommendations for the use of PPE during hospital care of people with COVID-19 Version 2 Available from: https://www.health.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/2020/03/interim-recommendations-for-the-use-of-personal-protective-equipment-ppe-during-hospital-care-of-people-with-coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19.pdf. 2020.
- 24. Kaixiong T, Bixiang Z, Peng Z, et al. Recommendations for general surgery clinical practice in novel coronavirus pneumonia situation. *Chinese Journal of Surgery*. 2020;58:E001–E001.
- 25. World Health Organization. Rational use of personal protective equipment for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Interim Guidance. WHO/2019-nCov/IPC PPE\_use/2020.1; WHO Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331215/WHO-2019-nCov-IPCPPE\_use-2020.1-eng.pdf.
- 26. Tran K, Cimon K, Severn M, et al. Aerosol generating procedures and risk of transmission of acute respiratory infections to healthcare workers: a systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e35797.
- 27. DesCoteaux JG, Picard P, Poulin EC, et al. Preliminary study of electrocautery smoke particles produced in vitro and during laparoscopic procedures. *Surg Endosc*. 1996;10:152–158.
- 28. Li C-I, Pai J-Y, Chen C-H. Characterization of smoke generated during the use of surgical knife in laparotomy surgeries. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc.* 2020;70:324–332.
- 29. Yu GY, Lou Z, Zhang W. [Several suggestion of operation for colorectal cancer under the Protecting Surgical Teams During the COVID-19 Outbreak: A Narrative Review and Clinical Considerations 2020 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.



outbreak of Corona Virus Disease 19 in China]. *Zhonghua Wei Chang Wai Ke Za Zhi*. 2020;23:9–11.

- 30. Ball K. Compliance with surgical smoke evacuation guidelines: implications for practice. *ORNAC J.* 2012;30:14–6, 18–9, 35–7.
- 31. Moore D, Gamage B, Bryce E, et al. Protecting health care workers from SARS and other respiratory pathogens: organizational and individual factors that affect adherence to infection control guidelines. *Am J Infect Control*. 2005;33:88–96.
- 32. Centers for Disease Control. SEQUENCE FOR PUTTING ON PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT (PPE) Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/hai/pdfs/ppe/ppe-sequence.pdf.
- 33. COVID-19: Removal and disposal of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). UK: NHS Available from: https://youtu.be/oUo5O1JmLH0. Accessed March 19, 2020.
- 34. NIOSH. NIOSH Fact Sheet: The Buddy System Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/vhf/ebola/pdf/buddy-system.pdf.
- 35. COVID-19: Guidance for Triage of Non-Emergent Surgical Procedures. *American College of Surgeons*. Available from: https://www.facs.org/about-acs/covid-19/information-for-surgeons/triage. Accessed March 19, 2020.
- 36. Radonovich LJ Jr, Simberkoff MS, Bessesen MT, et al. N95 Respirators vs Medical Masks for Preventing Influenza Among Health Care Personnel: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2019;322:824–833.